

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,995	KUBO, NAOKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	

All Participants:

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(2) Scott Tulino, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 17, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called the Applicant's representative to propose an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney Tulino stated that the Applicant has agreed to the proposed changes. Please see the Examiner's Amendment Office Action.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Carramah J. Quiett/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622

Status of Application: RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: Approx. 1:15 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)